Application No.: 10/511,856

Docket No.: CL1936USPCT

NO. 8524 P. 9

SEP 2 8 2006

Page 8

REMARKS

Reconsideration of this application is respectfully submitted.

Claim 30 has been amended to incorporate therein the limitations contained in Claims 31 and 32. Accordingly, Claims 31 and 32 have been cancelled. Claims 33 and 36 have been amended to be dependent from Claim 30, and Claim 39 has been amended to recite "weight average molecular weight" instead of "number average molecular weight," Claims 49 and 50 have been amended to recite that the crosslinkable binder is in the thermally imageable layer. New Claim 53 is similar to Claim 40 but recites a color image made by the method of Claim 14.

A Declaration under 37 C.F.R. 1.132 is hereby filed, declaring that the subject matter of U.S. 6,921,614 relied on for rejection of Claims 40 and 43 through 46, under 35 U.S.C. 102(e), was the invention of Gerald D. Andrews. Applicants respectfully submit that the Declaration overcomes this rejection.

In order to overcome the rejection of Claims 14, 17 through 23, 25, 26, 28, 30, 33 through 36, 38 through 40 and 43 through 52 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting, as being unpatentable over Claims 7 through 34 of copending Application No. 10/511584 (see PG Pub. 2005/0238968), please find attached hereto a terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.321(c),

The rejection of Claims 30, 33 through 36, 38, 40 and 43 through 46 as being unpatentable over Guehler et al. (U.S. 6,221,543), under either 35 U.S.C. 102(b) or 35 U.S.C. 103(a), is respectfully traversed. Guehler et al. disclose forming color filters by thermal transfer from donors of crosslinkable binders of prepolymers and oligomers. However, Applicants assert that the invention recited in such claims is patentable over Guehler et al. because Guehler et al. do not show or suggest a laserable assemblage comprising a glass substrate treated with adhesives or siloxane coupling agents as taught by the present specification. Claim 39 is dependent from Claim 30, thereby incorporating the patentable novelty of Claim 30, and EP 1,138,731 does not supply the above-mentioned deficiency of Guehler et al. In view of the foregoing, Applicants

SEP. 28. 2006 4:44PM DUPONT - LEGAL

Application No.: 10/511,856 Docket No.: CL1936USPCT

Page 9

NO. 8524

P. 10

respectfully submit that pending Claims 14, 17 through 23, 25, 26, 28, 30, 33 through 36, 38 through 40 and 43 through 52 are allowable over the art of record and in condition for allowance.

Reconsideration and allowance of this application are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

THOMAS H. MAGEÉ

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANTS

Registration No.: 27,355 Telephone: (302) 892-0795 Facsimile: (302) 892-7949

Datad: